

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America v. LARRY DONNELL WILLIAMS Date of Previous Judgment: <u>6/20/2005</u> (Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))))))))	Case No: <u>5:04CR00045-001</u> USM No: <u>19748-058</u> <u>Haakon Thorsen</u> Defendant's Attorney
--	---------------------------------	--

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☐ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☒ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: <u>35</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>33</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>235</u> to <u>293</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>188</u> to <u>235</u> months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☒ Other (explain): The original sentence in this case was given outside the advisory guideline system, ie: a variance. No factors have changed since the original sentencing.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


*The defendant is also serving a consecutive sentence of 60 months in Count Four for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 6/20/2005 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: April 27, 2011

Effective Date: April 27, 2011
(if different from order date)


 Richard L. Voorhees
 United States District Judge

